

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
4

\*\*\*

5 ROBERT H. STRONG,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 HANS #6999, *et al.*,

9 Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-0480-APG-VCF

**ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANT  
HANS #6999 and NAPH CARE**

10  
11 On November 20, 2015, Plaintiff was advised by the court (Dkt. #9) that this action  
12 would be dismissed without prejudice as to defendants Hans #6999 and NAPH Care  
13 unless on or before December 20, 2015, Plaintiff filed proper proof of service or showed  
14 good cause why such service was not timely made. Plaintiff has failed to file proof of  
15 service nor shown good cause. Nor has Plaintiff shown cause why this action should not  
16 be dismissed without prejudice as to those defendants for failure to effect timely service  
17 pursuant to FRCP 4(m). Therefore,

18 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that the above-entitled  
19 action be, and hereby is, **DISMISSED without prejudice** as to defendants Hans #6999  
20 and NAPH Care. Because those are the only remaining defendants in this matter, this  
21 case is dismissed. The clerk of the court is directed to close this file.

22 Dated: January 5, 2016.

23 

24 **ANDREW P. GORDON**  
25 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**  
26  
27  
28